CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, June 13, 2011 Jeff Jack Michael Von Ohlen Nora Salinas OUT Bryan King Leane Heldenfels, Chairman Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman Heidi Goebel Melissa Hawthorne	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
APPLICANT: Jim Bennett OWNER: Fred Purcell	

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west

property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 ½ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

BOARD'S DECISION: (West side property line) The public hearing was closed on Board Member Heidi Goebel motion to Postpone to May 9, 2011 to provide additional information clear graphics of the fence and update height variance requested, sealed survey measured on both sides, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2011. (RE-NOTIFICATION REQUIRED)

May 9, 2011 Postponed to June 13, 2011 due to notification error and additional information needed, height information from surveyor

June 13, 2011 POSTPONED TO SEPTEMBER 12, 2011 BY APPLICANT

VARIANCE REQUEST: The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 12 feet along the west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.


FINDING:

 The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot


- (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the


Susan	Wa	lker
Execut	ive I	Liaison

Leane Heldenfels Chairman



PROFILE EXHIBIT OF FENCES ON COMMON LINE OF LOTS 76 & 77, HUGHES PARK SUBDIVISION NO.1 (PERSPECTIVE IS WEST TO EAST)





Delta Survey Group Inc.

211 Swels in St. 102 Awda TX, 1874

625.0 (121 20.5200 for 0.012.02.310

WWW.DELTASUMPORTOROUP.CO.

QUAD MANSTELD
PROJECT LOT 77 PARK DRIVE
DWG. FRYCE LINE EXHIBIT

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property
- or proposed development; or

 is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that
 has an interest in or whose declared because it
- has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the confact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the confact person listed on the notice.

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088
If you use this form to comment it may be returned for
mailing address: 400 E Dove Ave. McAller TX
Comments:
Daytime Telephone: 98 369 - 8527
11/10
Your address(es) affected by this application
Your Name (please print) Your Name (please print) I am in favor Diobject
Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202 Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, June 13th, 2011
Case Number: C14-2010 0124 12012

application affecting your neighborhood. environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public

than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required. specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or

will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision. can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with

board or commission by: owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record

- concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or notice); or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- · occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- or proposed development; or is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that the subject property or proposed development. has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of

be available from the responsible department department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible

City of Austin-Plauning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

P. O. Box 1088

Susan Walker

Austin, TX 78767-1088

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.bx.us/development For additional information on the City of Austin's land development

> scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the listed on the notice, contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the

		Daytime Telephone: 956 369 8527 Comments:	X		Case Number: C15-2010-0134 - 12912 Park Drive Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202
--	--	--	---	--	--

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that
 has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of
 the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Austin, TX 78767-1088

Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088 If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
 and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
 is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the calculation.
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
 is an officer of an environmental or noighborhood.
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor

Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088

Austin, TX 78767-1088

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

A 128+ Fence Will sive the street an industrial rather than a rainity appearance
Comments: Board OF AdJUSTMents Case # C15-2010-0134
12896 Rapk Drive Austral Tx 78732 6/8/11 Signature Daytime Telephone: 512-266-2613
Your Name (please print) \[\mathcal{D}\left \left \left \text{Name (please print)} \\ \mathcal{D}\left \left \left \text{Name (please print)} \\ \mathcal{D}\left \left \text{Name (please print)} \\ \mathcal{N}\left \text{Name (please print)} \\ \mathcal{N}\le
Case Number: C15-2010-0134 – 12912 Park Drive Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202 Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, June 13th, 2011
listed on the notice.

June 10, 2011

Board of Adjustment c/o Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767


Dear Board Members:

I hereby request an indefinite postponement of case # C15-2010-0134 while the parties work on reaching an agreement.

Sincerely,

Im Bennett

512-282-3079





BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS

CASE#: C15-2010-0134 LOCATION: 12912 PARK DR

GRID: B33

MANAGER: SUSAN WALKER



This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
 and:
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

P. O. Box 1088 Austin, TX 78767-1088
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker
Comments:
Daytime Telephone: 302.5800 /75)-8061
6/4
Your address(es) affected by this application
Your Name (please print) XI am in favor I object
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, June 13th, 2011
Case Number: C15-2010-0134 – 12912 Park Drive Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202

CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, May 9, 2011 Jeff Jack	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Michael Von Ohlen	
Nora Salinas OUT	
Bryan King	
Leane Heldenfels, Chairman	
Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman	
Heidi Goebel	
Melissa Hawthorne	
iviciissa Hawthothe	

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett OWNER: Fred Purcell

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west

property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

BOARD'S DECISION: (West side property line) The public hearing was closed on Board Member Heidi Goebel motion to Postpone to May 9, 2011 to provide additional information clear graphics of the fence and update height variance requested, sealed survey measured on both sides, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2011. (RE-NOTIFICATION REQUIRED)

May 9, 2011 Postponed to June 13, 2011 due to notification error and additional information needed, height information from surveyor

FINDING:

- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due

to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the area.

Susan Walker Executive Liaison

Leane Heldenfels

Chairman

FRED PURCELL 5004 LAKEVIEW DR. AUSTIN, TEXAS 78732 512-266-6393 TEL 512-266-6369 FAX

March 16, 2004

William Snyder, M.D. 5520 North "C" Street McAllen, Texas 78504

By Facsimile: 956-686-5289

Dear Dr. Snyder,

James Watkins asked me to prepare a letter for your consideration detailing the construction of a wall between our lots in the courtyard area of the home I am currently constructing. My understanding in speaking with James is that you would consider the following:

- 1. Excavation for a footing extending 1'-2' onto your property.
- 2. The actual footing shall be within the boundary of my lot, lot 77.
- 3. The actual wall shall be within the boundary of my lot, lot 77.
- 4. The footing shall be poured in concrete and reinforced with steel.
- 5. The wall will be built with CMU (cinder block).
- 6. The height of the wall shall be approximately 15'.
- 7. The costs for construction shall be paid by me.
- 8. You may veneer the side of the wall facing your property as you like.
- 9. You may tie into the wall at the adjoining corners for use on your lot.

Please let me know if you have any questions regarding any of this. Thanks for your help and consideration.


Sincerely,

Fred Purcell

This was the memo I wrote to my file concerning the letter after Dr. Snyder wrote me back he didn't want to build the wall together:


Memo


Spoke with James Watkins around noon on April 13, 2004. He was surprised at the letter. He said he faxed the wall agreement letter on March 16 and had often spoken with Snyder about it. The letter was the doctor's idea and incorporated what he wanted. I explained that due to Easter weekend and the bad storm we didn't get the roofing tiles cleaned up by Sunday but would get it done this week. He said Snyder didn't complain to the city about the height of the house or the deck but was interested to know how to get the height we got for the house. Apparently, Artistry Homes told him he couldn't get it.



This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.

PROFILE EXHIBIT OF FENCES ON COMMON LINE OF LOTS 76 & 77, HUGHES PARK SUBDIVISION NO.1 (PERSPECTIVE IS WEST TO EAST)





Delta Survey Group Inc.
8113 Bradie Lane Ste. 102 Austin, TX. 38745
effice. (512) 282-5200 fax. (512) 282-5200
www.DELTASURVER(CROUP.COM

QUAD

PROJECT LOT 77 PARK DRIVE MANSFIELD


FENCE LINE EXHIBIT

2+00

APRIL 2011

Delta Survey Group

STATION	DESCRIPTION	GROUND ELEVATION	LOT 77 WALL HEIGHT	LOT 76 FENCE
0+00	BEGIN FENCE LOT 76	739.9	IILIGHI	HEIGHT
0+08		746.5	 	26.9
0+21.5		749.8	 	18.4
0+29.5		751.9		15.4
0+35.5	STEP UP IN FENCE	751.9	 	18.2
]	753.0	 	11.1
0+64	STEP UP IN FENCE	757.8		19.3
	1	757.8		8.6
0+77	STEP UP IN FENCE	760.0		11.3
	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			6.6
0+91	STEP UP IN FENCE	760.0		9.6
	over or my lines	761.0	<u> </u>	10.3
0+98	STEP UP IN FENCE	761.0		11.2
	O'L' O' INTENCE	763.4		8.6
1+05		763.4		10.7
		765.4		10.0
1+07	BEGIN WALL LOT 77	765.4		
1+20	STEP UP IN WALL	770.6	7.0	
	OTEL OF IN VVALL	771.4	6.5	
1+35		771.4	8.3	10.3
1+36	STEP UP IN WALL	773.2		8.4
	O'L' OF IN WALL	773.4	6.4	
1+74	STEP UP IN WALL	773.4	8.9	
	STEP OP IN WALL	773.7	9.0	
2+13	90 DEC TUDNING	773.7	(11.3)	
	90 DEG. TURN IN WALL			
	NORTH SIDE	775.1	10.0	
	AVEDAGE HEIGH	775.1		
L	AVERAGE HEIGHT		8.4'	12.6'



CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: Monday, April 11, 2011 Jeff Jack Michael Von Ohlen	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Nora Salinas Bryan King	
Leane Heldenfels, Chairman Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman Heidi Goebel	
APPLICANT: Jim Bennett	

OWNER: Fred Purcell

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

BOARD'S DECISION: (West side property line) The public hearing was closed on Board Member Heidi Goebel motion to Postpone to May 9, 2011 to provide additional information clear graphics of the fence and update height variance requested, sealed survey measured on both sides, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 vote; POSTPONED TO MAY 9, 2011. (RE-NOTIFICATION REQUIRED)

FINDING:


- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the area.

Susan Walker Executive Liaison

Leane Heldenfels Chairman

C15-201. 134



CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DENIED Y Jeff Jack A Michael Von Ohlen A	E NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Y Bryan King Y Leane Heldenfels, Chairman Y Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman Y Heidi Goebel Y Meliane Hauth	Jeff Jack Michael Von Ohlen Nora Salinas Bryan King Leane Heldenfels Clarke Hammond Heidi Goebel Melissa Hawthorne

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett

OWNER: Fred Purcell

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second. The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny, Board Member Leane Heldenfels second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Leane Heldenfels to Deny, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote; DENIED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Grant, Board Member Bryan King second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0 Vote; GRANTED

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district. Board Member Jeff Jack for discussion only to Deny Board Member Nora Salinas second, The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King to GRANT for emergency access on east side only, Board Member Jeff Jack second; Board Member Leane Heldenfels to DENY west property line, Board Member Bryan King second on a 7-0 Vote.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet along the east and west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The public hearing was closed on Board Member Bryan King motion to Grant (east side property line only) and Postpone (west side property line) to April 11, 2011, Board Member Jeff Jack second on a 7-0

BOARD'S DECISION:

FINDING:

- The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because: the improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because: the extreme topographic conditions of the one side, hardship on the setback is due to the construction of frame did not allow for rock work and encroaches into setback, east side of setback emergency access to the rear lot to pool.
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of

the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because: due to topography of the site the roof of the garage is almost non visible from off the property, structure already in place and have little or no affect on the character of the larea.

Susan Walker

Executive Liaison

eane Heldenfels

Chairman

Attention: City of Austin Board of Adjustment

3/9/2011

RE: Opposition to Fred Purcell

Case Number: C15-2010-0134

We oppose the following variances requested by Mr. Purcell because they are detrimental to our property (adjacent) and are not necessary because of any hardship or unusual circumstances. They are due to Mr. Purcell's construction of his home and accessory structures out of <u>disregard for the City of Austin building code</u>. He is asking for forgiveness – after having planned in advance to do the very things for which he seeks variances.

Variance 1 - decrease West side yard from 5 feet to 4.46 feet for a garage

Oppose this because the garage has a roof that further extends into the setback and is within inches from his wall and the property line. The roof is at the same level as the top of the wall so it is very obtrusive.

No hardship exists to require that he build a garage here. He planned this all along and disregarded the City code.

Variance 2 - decrease front street setback from 25 feet to 10.3 feet.

Carport was built without a permit and he had no intention of notifying the city or requesting a variance.

No hardship exists for this carport to be placed in the setbacks. This property is virtually covered with structures. Slope and street are not a factor the City BOA should find to be unusual or difficult.

Variance 3 – decrease East side yard from <u>5 feet to 3.9</u>.

Oppose this due to major encroachment for an un-necessary, un-permitted carport.

No hardship or unusual terrain exists for the BOA to authorize this variance.

Variance 4 - decrease West side yard setback from 5 ft to 4.9 feet.

No comment.

<u>Variance 5</u> – decrease East and West side yard setback from <u>5 feet to 0 feet</u> for a pool, deck and stairs.

Oppose this variance request. A swimming pool and deck and stairs were constructed at a height of approximately 10-12 feet above grade and completely into the setback. It is an intrusive and unsightly structure that was constructed under a permit that showed the design to be in compliance, however he did not build it in accordance with the plan. Thus his need for this variance.

<u>Variance 6</u> – increase maximum fence height from 6 feet to 8.5 feet along the East and West property line.

Oppose this variance request. The information being provided by the applicant, Mr. Purcell, under-represents this structure — it is as high as 15 feet and retains up to 5 feet of land where it was cut out. From the side on our property, it is at least 9-10 feet high as shown by pictures. Note that Mr. Purcell does not have a permit for this structure and no plans submitted showing the detail of this wall.

In Summary, Bill Snyder and Fred Purcell have talked directly (November and December) and Patty Snyder and Mr. Purcell have talked directly (immediately after the last BOA meeting). Mr. Purcell has made no offer to resolve, and no amount of discussion between us and Mr. Purcell can change the need for him to be seeking the Board's approval – violations would still exist. Even without our opposition, the City BOA would still not be able to validate them to be a hardship case, unusual terrain, or some other rationale required for approval. None of these conditions exist.

US-2-10-0134

Doug Sartoris 12910 Park Drive Austin, Texas 78732 DSartoris@aol.com

March 4, 2011

City of Austin Board of Adjustment P.O. Box 1088 Austin, Texas 78767-1088

Re: Proposed variances, Fred Purcell, Lot 77. To Whom It May Concern:

My home is next door to Fred's. I am in support of all the variances he is seeking as is the neighborhood and our homeowner's association. Specifically, please consider the adverse affects denying the variances for the deck, fence and carport would have on me.

With regard to the deck: the City does not provide wastewater service to this area even though we are considered to be in the City. Therefore, we must provide our own wastewater package plants for each home; because of the extreme topographical changes in the lots (the topography changes approximately 110' from the street to the water's edge) a grinder pump is required for each lot. When our homes were built Fred agreed to place his grinder pump and holding tank under the deck; this landscaping feature shields the wastewater pump and holding tank from view. I don't want him to tear up his deck and reconfigure this equipment. Much of the swimming pool and deck are suspended over the bluff and function together structurally. I am concerned that tearing up the deck may also result in changes to the pool which could adversely affect both his bluff property and mine.

With regard to the fence: this is not so much a fence but a landscape wall enclosing both of our courtyards. His landscape wall and mine are one and the same and were built together. Obviously, I don't want this landscape feature changing.

With regard to the gazebo/carport: if Fred is required to tear down this structure, he will likely rebuild it by moving it over to adjoin his one-car garage and in so doing he will need to rebuild his driveway adjacent to our property line. I would have to move and rebuild my mail box and it would put our driveways very close to each other. I much prefer the current design and, therefore, am very much in support of this variance. Though this area is in the City, the streets and right of ways have never been accepted for maintenance by the City; we have major drainage problems from the street on our side. We are required to work together to solve these drainage challenges with no help from the City. We have recently spent a great deal of money on drainage in the street area. If Fred relocates his driveway, much of this will have to be redone.


As I mentioned above, the City has never accepted any of the improvements offered for public dedication in this subdivision. We are provided no City services whatsoever and are annexed for limited purposes only. The Texas Local Government Code in Sec. 211.016 says that City zoning ordinances affecting the exterior appearance of our homes or open space on our lots cannot be applied to this

subdivision as they relate to landscaping, including the type and amount of landscaping materials. This makes it very confusing for homeowners to know what they can do and can't do regarding construction of landscaping features such as decks, patios, landscape walls, fountains etc.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Doug Sartoris



Sec. 211.016. ZONING REGULATION AFFECTING APPEARANCE OF BUILDINGS OR OPEN SPACE

(a) This section applies only to a zoning regulation that affects: (1) the exterior appearance of a single-family house, including the type and amount of building materials; or (2) the landscaping of a single-family residential lot, including the type and amount of plants or landscaping materials;

(b) A zoning regulation adopted after the approval of a residential subdivision plat does not apply to that subdivision until the second anniversary of the later of: (1) the date the plat was approved; or (2) the date the municipality accepts the subdivision improvements offered for public dedication.

From the City's Motion for Summary Judgment: "Exh. B: the bill file for the enactment of new legislation in 2003, Sec. 211.016, which was added to Subchapter A, Ch. 211, Local Government Code by HB 1207; applicability of the new law is addressed in SECTION 2:

(a) This act takes effect Sept. 1, 2003.


(b) The change in law made by the Act applies only to a residential subdivision plat approved by a municipality on or after the effective date of this Act. A residential subdivision plat approved by a municipality before the effective date of this Act is governed by the law in effect when the plat was approved, and the former law is continued in effect for that purpose."

DELTA SURVEY GROUP, INC. 8213 BRODIE LANE, SUITE 102

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78745

PHONE:(512)282-5200 FAX:(512)252-5230

LOT 77, HUGHES F	ARK LAKE SU	JBDIVISION No.1	(JULY 2004)
	ELEVATION	AVERAGED ELEVATION	EXISTING PROPOSED BUILDING BUILDING HEIGHT HEIGHT
ROOF RIDGE (SOUTHWEST TOWER) ROOF EVE (SOUTHWEST TOWER)	801.7 798.8	800.25]
HIGHEST ADJACENT GRADE LOWEST ADJACENT GRADE	773.6 751.1	762.35	37.9
PROPOSED HIGHEST GRADE PROPOSED LOWEST GRADE	774.6 755.6	765.1	35.15




NOTE: HEIGHTS ARE BASED ON CITY OF AUSTIN LAND DEVELOPMENT CODE -

ARTICLE 2. DEFINITIONS; MEASUREMENTS.


§ 25-1-21 DEFINITIONS.

(46) HEIGHT

(§ 25-2-531 HEIGHT LIMIT EXCEPTIONS) MAY ALSO APPLY. NOTE:







CITY OF AUSTIN

telephone

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "A"

BP Number 2007-/	19518 -
Building Permit No.07-	-119518
Plat No.	_ Date 5/7/07
Reviewer SP6	

	•	R.			
	PRIMARY PROJECT DAT	Α	٠.	tl0 5	15.07
•	Service Address 12912	- Park Drive	Tax Parcel	No	
	Legal Description Lot 77 Block 4	Subdivision Hughes Park Lake S	abd.	Section_	Phase
	If in a Planned Unit Develo	pment, provide Name and Case No pproved copies of subdivision and site plan)			
		subdivided lot, you must contact the Development Assist	ance Center	for a Land Star	us Determination
λ	Description of Work	Remodel (specify) Fil			
,	New Residence Fine		_	ch Pla	
_	Duplex Garage attached	detached Addition (specify)	9		
)	Carportattached	detached	1/		1 goods-
)	Pool	XOther (specify)	11 - 4	Ovs les	W 118111
	Zoning (e.g. SF-1, SF-2)		10	- C - W - 7	1 160416
	- Height of Principal building				of floors
	- Does this site currently have	water and wastewater availability? Yes No. If	no, please c	ontact the	
	- Does this site have a septic sy	72-0000 to apply for water and/or wastewater tap appostem? Y Yes No. If yes, for all sites requiring a	Dication, or sentic field	a service extens	sion request.
	permit prior to a zoning revi	ew.	soprie meia	you must obtain	ii an approved sept
	Does this site have a Board of	Adjustment ruling? $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ Yes $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$ No $\overline{\hspace{1cm}}$ If yes, attach the $\overline{\hspace{1cm}}$	O.A. docum	nentation	
	Will this development require	a cut and fill in excess of 4 feet? YesNo			
	Does this site front a paved stre	eet? <u>X</u> Yes No A paved alley? Yes N	lo		2
	1	dential Design and Compatibility Standards Ordinance B		a? Yes	ν _{No} .
	VALUATIONS FOR	VALUATIONS FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION	·	PERMIT	FFFS
	REMODELS ONLY	OR ADDITIONS ONLY		(For office u	
<u>.</u>	Building \$	Lot Size 15000 will Delermine sq.ft.		NEW/ADDITI	ONS REMODELS
,	Electrical \$	Job Valuation - Principal Building \$ /500 00	- Building	\$	\$
,	Mechanical \$	(Labor and materials)	i i	\$	
	Plumbing \$	Job Valuation - Other Structure(s) \$	·	al \$	
3	Driveway/ Sidewalk \$	(Labor and materials)		\$	\$
_	TOTAL \$	TOTAL JOB VALUATION	Driveway & Sidewa	, alk\$	\$
>	(labor and materials)	(sum of remodels and additions)	TOTA		
		(Labor and materials)			
-					
^	OWNER / BUILDER INFOR				
<u> </u>	OWNER / BUILDER INFOR	MATION		Telephone ()	n 266-639:
•		MATION Fred Purcell		Telephone (l	v) 784- 497e
,		Fred Purcell		(\ Telephone	v) <u>784- 497</u> c 663-7137
	OWNER Name	MATION Fred Purcell		(v Telephone Pager	v) <u>784- 497</u> c 663-7137
	OWNER Name BUILDER Company I Contact/Ap DRIVEWAY/	Name_Sakin Builders 73 policant's Name_Jeff Sakin		(v Telephone Pager FAX	v) 784- 497c 663-7137
	OWNER Name BUILDER Company N Contact/Ap DRIVEWAY/ SIDEWALK Contractor	MATION Fred Purcell Name_Sakin Builders 73 policant's Name_Jeff Saikin		(v Telephone Pager	v) 784- 497c 663-7137
	OWNER Name BUILDER Company I Contact/Ap DRIVEWAY/ SIDEWALK Contractor CERTIFICATE OF	Name_Sakin Builders 73 policant's Name_Jeff Sakin		(v Telephone Pager FAX	v) <u>784- 497</u> c 663-7137
	OWNER Name BUILDER Company I Contact/Ap DRIVEWAY/ SIDEWALK Contractor CERTIFICATE Name	MATION Fred Purcell Name_Sakin Builders 73 uplicant's Name_Jeff Sakin		Telephone	v) 784- 4976 663-7137

You may check the status of this application at www.ci.austin.tx.us/development/pierivr.htm

Applicant's Signature_

CITY OF AUSTIN

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of al subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.

I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC

I understand that nothing may be built upon or over an easement. I further understand that no portion of any roof structure may overhang in any public utility or drainage easement.

I acknowledge that customer will bear the expense of any necessary relocation of existing utilities to clear this driveway location and/o the cost to repair any damage to existing utilities caused during construction.

I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the proposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive approval to proceed.

I agree that this application will expire on the 18 st day after the date that the application is filed if the application is not approved an an extension is not granted. If the application expires a new submittal will be required.

APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE

DATE

5 7 98 07

HOME BUILDER'S STATE REGISTRATION NUMBER (required for all new construction)

	sq.ft	oor conditioned area loor conditioned area oor conditioned area ment ge / Carport ttached letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	oor conditioned area oor conditioned area ement ge / Carport attached letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	oor conditioned area ment ge / Carport ttached letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches onies nming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	ment ge / Carport uttached letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches ponies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	ge / Carport ttached detached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways red patios red porches onies nming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	attached letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft	letached d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	d decks [must be counted at 100%]. zeways ered patios ered porches ponies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ftsq.ft.	zeways ered patios ered porches onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft.	ered patios ered porches conies enting pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft.	pred porches ponies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft. sq.ft. sq.ft.	onies mming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ftsq.ft.	nming pool(s) [pool surface area(s)] r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	r building or covered area(s)
	sq.ft.	99
sq.ft. % of lot	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	AL BUILDING COVERAGE ON LOT (subtract, if applicable, b., c., d., k. and f. if uncovered)
ar screening are not inclu-	bich are used for solar scr	G COVERAGE g cover and sidewalks, driveways, uncovered patios, decks, air ervious cover. Roof overhangs which do not exceed two feet oge or impervious coverage. All water must drain away from building
		a. Total building coverage on lot (see above)
sq.ft.	• •	b. Driveway area on private property
sq.ft.		c. Sidewalk / walkways on private property
sq.ft.		
_	_	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f. Air conditioner pads
=	=	_
-		h O41 (· · · · · ·
sq.π.	sq.	Citier (specify)
sq.f sq.f sq.f sq.f sq.f		 d. Uncovered patios e. Uncovered wood decks [may be counted at 50%] f. Air conditioner pads g. Concrete decks h. Other (specify)

CITY OF AUSTIN RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "D" **FLOOR AREA RATIO INFORMATION**

TO BE COMPLETED FOR ALL PROPERTIES LOCATED WITHIN THE RESIDENTIAL DESIGN AND COMPATIBILITY STANDARDS ORDINANCE BOUNDARY AREA.


oplicant's Signature	Da	te_ <u>\$/7/07</u>
ROSS FLOOR AREA AND FLOOR AREA RATIO as defi	•	Code.
	Existing	New / Addition
I. 1" Floor Gross Area		•
a. 1st floor area (excluding covered or uncovered finished ground-		
floor porches)	sq.ft	sq.
b. 1st floor area with ceiling height over 15 feet.	sq.ft	sq.
c. TOTAL (add a and b above)	sq.ft	sq.
TY and roll		
II. 2 nd Floor Gross Area See note below		•
d. 2 nd floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches,	sq.ft	sq.
breezeways, mezzanine or loft)	sq.ft.	sq.
e. 2 nd floor area with ceiling height > 15 feet.	sq.ft.	sq.
f. TOTAL (add d and e above)	•	•
III. 3rd Floor Gross Area See note 1 below	•	
g. 3 rd floor area (including all areas covered by a roof i.e. porches,	sq.ft	sq.
breezeways, mezzanine or loft).		
h. 3 rd floor area with ceiling height > 15 feet	sq.ft	sq.:
i. TOTAL (add g and h above)	sq.ft	sq.i
IV. Basement Gross Area	•	
j. Floor area outside footprint of first floor or greater than 3 feet above grade at the average elevation at the intersections of the		
minimum front yard setback line and side property lines.	sq.ft.	sq.:
minimum front yard setoack fine and side property fines.	•	
V. Garage		
kattached (subtract 200 square feet if used to meet the	sq.ft.	sq.f
minimum parking requirement)	, _	
ldetached (subtract 450 square feet if more than 10 feet from		sq.f
principal structure)		
principal sit uciure)		
VI. Carport (open on two or more sides without habitable space	sq.ft.	sa.t
above it subtract 450 square feet)		
acoro il suoli aci. 120 square jeeij		
VII. TOTAL	sq.ft.	sq.f
TOTAL GROSS FLOOR AREA (add existing and new from VII	above)
. `		q. ft.
GROSS AREA OF LOT		q. ft.
		T
FLOOR AREA RATIO (gross flo		% .

¹ If a second or third floor meets all of the following criteria it is considered to be attic space and is not calculated as part of the overall Gross Floor Area of the structure.
a. It is fully contained within the roof structure and the roof has a slope of 3 to 12 or greater

It only has one floor within the roof structure

It does not extend beyond the foot print of the floors below

It is the highest habitable portion of the building; and Fifty percent or more of the area has a ceiling height of seven fect or less.



CITY OF AUSTIN

Applicant's Signature

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION "B"

CITY OF AUSTIN

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of all subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.

I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC.

I understand that nothing may be built upon or over an easement. I further understand that no portion of any roof structure may overhang in any public utility or drainage easement.

I acknowledge that customer will bear the expense of any necessary relocation of existing utilities to clear this driveway location and/or the cost to repair any damage to existing utilities caused during construction.

I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the proposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive approval to proceed.

I agree that this application will expire on the 181st day after the date that the application is filed if the application is not approved and an extension is not granted. If the application expires, a new submittal will be required.

HOME BUILDER'S STATE REGISTRATION NUMBER (required for all new construction)

HOME BUILDER'S STATE REGISTRATION NUMBER (required for all new construction)

Refer to the following the f

Letter for permit

Date:

5/13/2007 4:48:09 PM Central Daylight Time

From:

Fredapurcell

To:

rileys1223

Jeff Saikin 12909 Park Drive Austin, Texas 78732 512-663-7137 Tel 512-266-6369 Fax

May 10, 2007

Sylvia Benavidez
City of Austin, Watershed Protection and Development Review
505 Barton Springs Rd.
Austin, Texas 78704

Re: 12912 Park Drive, Austin, Texas 78732; Hughes Park Lake Subdivision Project.

Dear Ms. Benavidez,

As you know, building permit #BP03-8418R was issued for the construction of the home at the above address in 2003. The lot on which the home was built is part of the Hughes Park Lake Subdivision project, an endeavor which began in 1939 when approval of the final plat became the first in a series of permits required to initiate and continue the project. The on-going construction of improvements for residential purposes continues today. When the project began it was not within any jurisdictional boundary of the City of Austin but was annexed for limited purposes by the City in 1986. No services, including water, wastewater, fire or police protection, libraries, parks etc. are provided by the City and none of the subdivision improvements such as roads etc. have ever been accepted for maintenance by the City.

The house was constructed in compliance with all requirements of the project, including those of the final plat for the subdivision, and was substantially completed in 2004, at which time the owner moved in. In response to a complaint from a lawyer of a nearby lot owner, the City is requiring that we call for a final inspection and obtain a C.O. for the house. We called for the inspection under BP03-8418R but were told the permit was doormat. We asked that it be reactivated and were told that it had expired, could not be re-activated, and would no longer support an inspection necessary for issuance of a C.O.

Therefore, pursuant to the City's suggestion for us to authorize a final inspection to issue a C.O. for the home built in this project, we, respectfully, request that you issue the required permit so that we may comply with the City's request.

Thank you for your help in this platter.

Sincerely,

Jeff Saikin

See what's free at AOL.com.

	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A
\$	Regeted need to mow if lot 11 or lot is can not match up
(4	2) reid filos of plain (3) med to know if John in Basement is grow
مل سر	Treed to Ende CITY Brilling Swimmer BP Number BP-03-2412A Building Permit No. 32
(3	Plat No. Date Date Reviewer No. Date Date
	PRIMARY PROJECT DATA (Or Constant page)
1	Service Address 12912 Purk Brive Tax Parcel No. 8152440144000
M	Lot 77 Block A Subdivision Hughes D. L. C. S.
る	If in a Planned Unit Development, provide Name and Case No 03016064 03016065, 030160606
	If this site is not a legally subdivided lot, you must contact the Development Assistance Center for a Land Status Petermination.
2	Description of Work New Residence Duplex Duplex
	Garageattacheddetached
	Zoning (e.g. SE-1 SE 2) SE-2 MW LITTOCHER WILLY TO OUT TO
	On lots with LA zoning, the approved septic permit must be submitted with the Residential Permit application for zoning approval. [LDC 25-2-551(B)(6)]
	Does this site have a Board of Adjustment ruling? Yes No If yes, attach the B.O.A. documentation Will this development require a cut and fill in excess of 4 feet? Yes No
	1 1)099 this cita from to many 1 at 100 Mar
	VALUATIONS FOR DATA FOR NEW CONSTRUCTION PERMODELS ON A paved alley?YesNo PERMODELS ON A paved alley?YesNo
	REMODELS ONLY OR ADDITIONS ONLY PERMIT FEES (For office use only)
	Building \$ Lot Size \\ \int \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
	Beethean \$ 190.00 and the second seco
7	(Labor and materials) \$ A Bigotrical \$ 466 0 \$ 34,00
3	Driveway \$
<u> </u>	& Sidewalk \$ Total Job Valuation (remodels and additions) Plumbing \$ \$
	TOTAL \$ \$
5)	(Labor and materials) OWNER / BUILDER INFORMATION (Labor and materials) TOTAL \$
ا د	
*	16lephone (h) 166 6343
)	BUILDER Company Name SAIKIN BIDERS (w) 784 4920 Telephone 663 7/37
	DRIVEWAY Contact/Applicant's Name Jeff Scikin Pager
	SIDEWALK Contractor Swifin Buildows
İ	CERTIFICATE Name 4616 Tracker 141
	OF OCCUPANCY Address 500 4 Lake View BV: City Aus 612 ST TY 717 712 712 712 712 712 712 712 712 712
L	(ift みし5尺) cm / Y cm ナクユ2ト

If you would like to be notified when your application is approved, please select the method:

* telephone X e-mail: Susanhausen 2 @ 201. com; 266-6393

You may check the status of this application at www.ci.austin.tx.us/development/pierivr.htm


TOTAL IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE (add a. through h.) _____sq.ft. ____% of lot

CITY OF AUSTIN

RESIDENTIAL PERMIT APPLICATION

I understand that in accordance with Sections 25-1-411 and 25-11-66 of the Land Development Code (LDC), non-compliance with the LDC may be cause for the Building Official to suspend or revoke a permit and/or license. I understand that I am responsible for complying with any subdivision notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions (i.e., height, access, screening, etc.) on this property. If a conflict should result with any of these restrictions, it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I understand that, if requested, I must provide copies of all subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants, and/or zoning conditional overlay information that may apply to this property.
I acknowledge that this project qualifies for the Site Plan Exemption as listed in Section 25-5-2 of the LDC.
I also understand that if there are any trees greater that 19 inches in diameter located on the property and immediately adjacent to the proposed construction, I am to schedule a Tree Ordinance review by contacting (512) 974-1876 and receive approval to proceed. APPLICANT'S SIGNATURE
DATE 10/21/03

Rejection Notes/Additional Comments (for office use only)[]	
(e) need to know locature of dr	veway of where allesing
a later than the second	
I good to know palery spice	2) lecalus
,	



CITY OF AUSTIN Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board Decision Sheet

DATE: NO	onday, December 13, 2010	CASE NUMBER: C15-2010-0134
Y	Jeff Jack 2nd the Motion	
Y	Michael Von Ohlen Melissa Hawthorne	J.
Y	Nora Salinas	
Y	Bryan King	
Y	Leane Heldenfels, Chairman	
Y	Clarke Hammond, Vice Chairman Motion	to PP to Feb 14, 2011
Y	Heidi Goebel	

APPLICANT: Jim Bennett

OWNER: Fred Purcell

ADDRESS: 12912 PARK DR

VARIANCE REQUESTED: The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.46 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a garage for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 10.3 feet in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3.9 feet along the east property line in order to maintain a carport for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 4.9 feet along the west property line in order to maintain a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 to 0 feet along the east and west property line in order to maintain a swimming pool, deck and stairs for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum fence height requirement of Section 25-2-899 (D) from 6 feet to 8 ½ feet along the east and

west property lines in order to maintain a solid fence for a single-family residence in an "SF-2", Single-Family Residence zoning district.

BOARD'S DECISION: POSTPONED to February 14, 2011 (for additional information, show permit of what was built, topographic map, calculations of impervious coverage); Board member Clarke Hammond motioned, Board member Jeff Jack seconded; Vote 7-0; POSTPONED TO February 14, 2011

FINDING:

- 1. The Zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:
- 2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:
 - (b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:
- 3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Susan Walker

Executive Liaison

Chairman

Park Dr.

Date:

12/14/2010 12:39:41 P.M. Central Standard Time

From:

Fredapurcell@aol.com

To:

snyderp@mac.com, dr.snyder@mac.com

CC:

rsuttle@abaustin.com

Patty, Bill: If you are willing, I would like to sit down with you and listen to your thoughts on how to resolve matters affecting my home and your land. Patty, if you haven't gone back to Scottsdale, yet, I would be happy for you to come to our home or meet somewhere to discuss this. My telephone number is 512-784-4920. Thanks for your consideration. Fred.

12912 Park

Date: From: 12/27/2010 12:09:41 P.M. Central Standard Time

TO:

Fredapurcell@aol.com

To:

snyderp@mac.com, dr.snyder@mac.com

CC: rsuttle@abaustin.com

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

Re: 12912 Park

Date:

12/27/2010 1:48:34 P.M. Central Standard Time

From: To:

dr.snyder@mac.com Fredapurcell@aol.com

CC: snyderp@me.com

Usually that would be a fine idea, however I'm at tpc Vegas and patty at sawgrass, then next week Utah, after that not sure.....

Patty was pretty disappointed the way the issues were characterized by your side, so I think that pretty much poisened the well, but I'll ask her

Happy nu year

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 27, 2010, at 10:09 AM, Fredapurcell@aol.com wrote:

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

Re: 12912 Park

Date:

12/27/2010 4:23:50 P.M. Central Standard Time

From: To: Fredapurcell@aol.com dr.snyder@mac.com

CC:

snyderp@mac.com, rsuttle@abaustin.com

I understand. Yes, there was a question as to whether I had a permit to build my swimming pool; I responded that I did to which she didn't agree. I believe we still have copies of the permits for the pool and garage which I will copy you with. Happy new year to you and if there is a change of mind, please let me know. Fred.

In a message dated 12/27/2010 1:48:34 P.M. Central Standard Time, dr.snyder@mac.com writes:

Usually that would be a fine idea, however I'm at tpc Vegas and patty at sawgrass , then next week Utah, after that not sure.....

Patty was pretty disappointed the way the issues were characterized by your side, so I think that pretty much poisened the well, but I'll ask her

Happy nu year

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 27, 2010, at 10:09 AM, Fredapurcell@aol.com wrote:

Bill, Patty: I hope you had a merry Christmas. I can come to Scottsdale to meet with you concerning the variances on my home later this week or over the weekend if you so desire. Thanks, Fred.

1) CO

2) lovety pernt

3) Drus

5) barage 4) swimming Pool 1) Whyterouser plants PERM 175

City of Austin

BUILDING PERMIT

PERMIT NO:

2008-063194-BP

Type: RESIDENTIAL

Status: Active

Issue Date: 08/11/2008

Expiry Date: 02/07/2009

2912 PARK DR		10040 -			•	-	
EGAL DESCRIPTION			SITE AP	PROVAL	ZONI	NG	GRID NO.
LEGAL BLOOM HOW					sf:	2	MB33
PROPOSED OCCUPANCY "life saftey Certificate of Occup	ancy for New Three Story Res W/Att	Covered Patio (base	don	ORK PERMI	TTED		ISSUED BY Gabriel Guerrero
original application in to a fory.				·	·	· · ·	" OF DARKING
TOTAL SQFT	VALUATION	TYPE ι CONST.	JSE CAT.	GROUP	FLOORS	UNITS	# OF PARKING SPACES
Remodel: 6,473	Tot Val Rem: \$100.		435		1	1	
TOTAL BLDG. COVERAGE	E % COVERAGE	тот	AL IMPER	vious cov	/ERAGE	%	COVERAGE
Contact Applicant, Fred Purcell Owner, Fred Purcell						<u>Tele</u> () - () -	<u>phone</u>
General Contractor, Fred Pure					<u>.</u>	. 0-	

-	ee Description	* 5	1.	Fee Amount	Paid Date
	Building Permit Fee		4.	\$23.00	08/11/2008
			Total Fees:	\$23.00	A.
					. 1 Çe
			**************************************		1. 10
			 		4
		27 1	4		le e
		44	Two		88 27 32
		54 57 a	4,7,		
		145			
		-	9		··.
					ta Titologia
					5
				. 1 19 41.27 1	transparence in the con-
				And the second second	
	1			T-85.4	

Inspection Requirements
Building Inspection

mits will See Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing permits for Related Fees and Inspections.

Section 25-11-94 EXPIRATION AND EXTENSION OF PERMIT (Active Permits will expire 180 days at 11:59:59 pm after date of last inspection performed.)

<u>Comments</u> <u>Date</u> <u>User</u>

last week an agreement was reached in the office of the assistant city manger Sue Edwards for additional information please contact Sue or Terry Irion (letter attached) I Left a message with Marie Sandoval for confirmation of the conversation.

Residential Zoning Review

08/11/2008

Residential Zoning Reviewers

BY ACCEPTING OR PAYING FOR THIS PERMIT YOU ARE DECLARING THAT YOU ARE THE OWNER OR AUTHORIZED BY THE OWNER THAT THE DATA SUBMITTED AT THE TIME OF APPLICATION WAS TRUE FACTS AND THAT THE WORK WILL CONFORM TO THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATION SUBMITTED HEREWITH.



City of Austin

BUILDING PERMIT

₽ERMIT NO:

2008-063194-BP \

Type: RESIDENTIAL

Status: Active

12912 PARK DR

Issue Date: 08/11/2008

Expiry Date: 02/07/2000

LECAL DECORPTION	<u> </u>				EXP	my Dau	e: 02/07/2009
LEGAL DESCRIPTION			SITE	APPROVAL	ZON	ING	GRID NO.
		i			sf	2	MB33
PROPOSED OCCUPANCY "life saftey Certificate of Occu original application it is a 1sty	pancy for New Three Story Res W/Att Cover with a basement no indication that it was a 3	ed Patio (based sty structure!)		WORK PERMI Remodel	<u> </u> TTED	<u> </u>	ISSUED BY Gabriel Guerrero Ji
TOTAL SQFT	VALUATION	TYPE US	SE CA	T. GROUP	FLOORS	UNITS	# OF PARKING SPACES
Remodel: 6,473	Tot Val Rem: \$100.00		435		1	1	0.71020
TOTAL BLDG. COVERAG	E % COVERAGE	A	L IMPE	ERVIOUS COV	ERAGE	· %	COVERAGE

<u>Type</u>	<u>Date</u>	Status	Comments		Inspector	
101 Building Layout	. VESSA (1975)	Open		i i	Bob Bulkley	
102 Foundation	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	Open		7.	Bob Bulkley	
103 Framing		Open			Bob Bulkley	
104 Insulation		Open			Bob Bulkley	
105 Wallboard		Open		-21-6	Bob Bulkley	
111 Energy Final		Open			Bob Bulkley	
112 Final Building		Open			Bob Bulkley	

-5006699 5006699

Course the state of the state o



NOTICE OF CLASS "A" TRAVIS COUNTY BASIC DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

This Permit No. $03-2288$ is issued on $4/7/2004$	and is effective immediately.
This Permit is Issued to <u>JEFF SAIKIN</u> and is not transferrable.	
This Permit authorizes the permittee to construct dev requirements of Travis County Flood Plain Management described property	elopment in accordance with the ents Regulation on the following
LOT 77 BLOCK A HUGHES PARK LA	KE SUBD 1
12912 PARK DRIVE	
Single Family Decision	
Single Family Residence SFR The permittee applied in Travis County for a basic develocation. The application has been reviewed and it is been reviewed and it is been reviewed.	
location. The application has been reviewed and it is hand improvements will not be in the 100 year flood plain not required by Travis County. This permit shall be posted in a location where it is visate weather, and secure from vandalism and will remain posted.	able to the public, protected from ested until work is
This Department recommends the finish floor slab be c highest adjacent grade.	onstructed12_ inches above the
Notes: Compliance with the Endangered Species Act is the responsibility of the applicant.	SKSHH
Issuance of this permit does not imply compliance with deed and/or plat restrictions.	Stacey Scheffel —
Contact Travis County Prior to Driveway Construction.	Floodplain Administrator Travis County
Special Provisions:	Transportation and Natural Resources

The attached driveway permit constitutes only a regulatory approval by the County pursuant to 251.016, Transportation Code, and Ch 64, Travis County Code. This permit grants no property interest in the right of way and does not constitute a right of way.



NOTICE OF CLASS "A" TRAVIS COUNTY BASIC DEVELOPMENT PERMIT

This Permit No. <u>03-2288</u> is issued on <u>4/7/2004</u>	and is effective immediately.
This Permit is Issued to JEFF SAIKIN and is not transferrable.	
This Permit authorizes the permittee to construct dev requirements of Travis County Flood Plain Management described property	relopment in accordance with the ents Regulation on the following
LOT 77 BLOCK A HUGHES PARK LA	KE SUBD 1
12912 PARK DRIVE	
Single Family Residence SFR	
The permittee applied in Travis County for a basic develocation. The application has been reviewed and it is and improvements will not be in the 100 year flood plainot required by Travis County. * This permit shall be posted in a location where it is visweather, and secure from vandalism and will remain procomplete.	in and elevation certificate completion is
This Department recommends the finish floor slab be c highest adjacent grade. Notes:	constructed12_ inches above the
Compliance with the Endangered Species Act is the responsibility of the applicant.	SKOHH
Issuance of this permit does not imply compliance with deed and/or plat restrictions.	Stacey Scheffel
Contact Travis County Prior to Driveway Construction.	Floodplain Administrator Travis County
Special Provisions:	Transportation and Natural Resources

The attached driveway permit constitutes only a regulatory approval by the County pursuant to 251.016, Transportation Code, and Ch 64, Travis County Code. This permit grants no property interest in the right of way and does not constitute a determination by the County that the permitee has any property interest entitling the permittee to construct improvements in the right of way.

TRAVIS COUNTY PERMIT APPLICATION	ON FOR DRIVEW	AY IN RIGHT-0	F-WAY
DATE: 9-6-03-	1	No.: 03 -	
Application is hereby made for construction within p follows. Construction shall conform with Chapter 8. Construction of Streets and Drainage in Subdivisions	public right-of-way a		
1. PROPERTY INFORMATION: Street Address: 12912 Park Dr., Austi		7 <i>2</i> 7	
Subdivision Name: Hughos Park lake Si Phase: 1 Section:	ubdiv. #1	Lot: 77	Block A
Survey.	R-	- -	
2. DRIVEWAY INFORMATION:	creage:Tax	Parcel No.: 615	24401440
A. X Type 1 (1 or 2 family residence)	R-	Type 2 ((Commercial) /
Dip	-Style OR	Curb &	Cutton Style
C. Number of Driveways (max 2 per street):	TOR PROCEEDING	Widths : 12-7	38. 60 600
b. Site plan showing: property lines, propose curb inlets, proposed driveways, intersecting recorner	sed/existing improves nearby streets, and di	ments, guardrails, i stance of driveway	y (s) from lot
c. When a property does not have adequate st another property, not owned by this applicant, easement that allows this access.	merude a copy of the	e access / roadway	
It is agreed that the proposed improvements shall be constructed as shown on approved plans and specifications submitted as shown on approved plans and specifications submitted as specification by Travis County. The permit holder shall assume elocation of utility facilities impacted by the proposed access integrity of any existing storm water drainage facility and will proved storm water culvert of size and design conforming streets and Drainage in Subdivisions. It is further agreed the	e full responsibility for s. The Permit holder all construct or be response.	notification; coordings and in the subject of the coordings are specification; coordings are specifications and the constructions are subject to the constructions ar	to nation and y for the action of an
treets and Drainage in Subdivisions. It is further agreed that the eright completion of construction of the abutting street to perform the difference of the difference of the abutting street to perform the difference of the d	iveway approach under	way approach approvant anew permit within	ved by this 1 thirty (30)
新沙川寺皇子 もってい ロー・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・・	<i>f</i> . f		and the second second

Applicant's Signature

CITY OF AUSTIN - PROJECT PERMIT Printed: 13 November 2003 PERMIT NO. **STATUS** TYPE **ADDRESS** DATE 03016064 **ACTIVE** R 12912 PARK DR 13-NOV-03 SUBDIVISION bdivision: HUGHES PARK LAKE SUBDIVISION NO.1; Lot: 77; Block: B PERMIT CLERK **DCORTINAS** PLAT BLOCK GRID Α 77 **MB33** ZONE HT & AREA PROPOSED OCCUPANCY PARKING NEW THREE STORY RES W/ATT COVERED PATIO SF2 : REQD. PROVO SPECIAL PERMIT NO. TOTAL SO FT. TYPE CONST. USE, CAT. GROUE FLOORS BLDGS UNITS 8046 \$415,000 R3-1 WORK PERMITTED BASEMENT BUILDING DIMENSIONS MIN. STD. ONR NEW Ν N Ν PHONE FEE PAID DATE REQUIRED OWNER/CONTR. WALSH TARLTON LTD INSPECTIONS 266-6393 Ν BUILDING SAIKIN BUILDERS 663-7137 \$740 11/13/2003 BUILDING ELECTRICAL MECHANICAL \$460 ELECTRIC MECHANICAL Ç \$240 PLUMBING 0 PLUMBING CONCRETE \$250 WATER . SIDEWALK/DRIVE SEWER SIGN ROOF/SIDE ELEC SERVICE FEE PLAN CHECK FEE **ETJ FEE** TOTAL FEE TOTAL PAID \$1,690 \$740 C.O. MAILING ADDRESS 5004 LAKEVIEW DRIVE

AUSTIN, TX 78732

REMARKS

IC-6172' 41% BC-5702' 38%. 16' DR & COA SIDEWALKS MAYBE REQUIRED. NOT IN FLOOD PLAIN PER TODD PANKEY 11-10-2003 RESID ZON REV NBRIONES.

HATTNI



TO SCHEDULE AN INSPECTION, CALL 480-0623.

PERMIT NO. **STATUS** TYPE **ADDRESS** DATE 03016064 **ACTIVE** R 12912 PARK DR 13-NOV-03 SUBDIVISION PERMIT CLERK Subdivision: HUGHES PARK LAKE SUBDIVISION NO.1; Lot: 77; Block: B **DCORTINAS** BLOCK LOT GRID Α 77 **MB33** ZONE HT. & AREA PROPOSED OCCUPANCY PARKING NEW THREE STORY RES W/ATT COVERED PATIO REQD. PROVD. SF2 SPECIAL PERMIT NO. TOTAL SQ. FT. VALUATION TYPE CONST. USE. CAT. GROUP FLOORS BLDGS UNITS 8046 \$415,000 R3-1 2 1 WORK PERMITTED BASEMENT BUILDING DIMENSIONS MIN. STD. ONR NEW Ν Ν Ν DATE TYPE STATUS FAILURES INSPECTOR CODE Layout 101 Plumbing Rough 501 Plumbing Sewer 505 Plumbing Copper 502 Plumbing Gas 504 Foundation 102 Framing 103 Insulation/Energy 601 Plumbing Top Out 503 Mechanical Rough 401 Mechanical Vent 402 Electrical Slab 301 Electric Rough 302 Wallboard 104 Sidewalk Prepour 201 **Driveway Prepour** 202 Final Building 706 Final Electric 701 Final Mechanical 703 Final Plumbing 702

FINAL DATE

Final Energy

Final Sign

N A

L

Final Concrete

Landscaping

Engineering

Water

Sewer Fire

Health

Admin Holds: NONE

Remarks:

704

705

707

Review Date

Approval of the final plumbing inspection, if required, serves as completion and approval

of a customer service inspection, as required by 30 T.A.C. 29.46 (i).



City of Austin WPDR PIER - Building Permit - RECEIPT

Receipt #: 351768

Date: 13 NOV 2003

Company Name:

SAIKIN BUILDERS

Contact Name:

Phone:

512-663-7137

Fax: --

Address

City:

ZIP: -

Issued by: DIANA CORTINAS

Payment Method:

CHECK

Status: Paid

Check Number:

2677

Check Amount:

FAO-Rev.Code	Description	Quantity	Sub Total
1000-630-9100-4053	BP - Building Permit 12912 PARK DR - 03016064		
	BP - Building Permit 12912 PARK DR - 03016065	1	\$740.00
1000-630-9100-4053	BP - Building Permit 12912 PARK DR - 03016066	į.	\$33.00
	12512 Attit Dil - 03010000	1	\$33.00

Total: \$806.00

ITY OF AUSTIN - PROJECT PER

Printed: 13 November 2003

	PERMIT NO. STATUS TYPE ADDRESS 03016066 ACTIVE R 12912 PARK DR							_	DATE 13-NOV-03				
	divis	ion: HL	JGHI	ES PARK	LAKE SU	SUBDIVISION BDIVISION	NO.1; Lot: 7	7; Block: B		·	ERMIT CLEI		
	PLAT		E	А А	77		LOT				GRID		
	ZONE SF2	HT. & AR		REQD.	PARKI PROVI		NEW DETA	CHED GARAG	PROPOSED OCC E	MB33 CUPANCY			
	SP	ECIAL PER	MIT NO).	TOTAL SQ. 400	FT.	VALUATION \$10,000	TYPE CONST.	USE. CAT.	GROUP	FLOORS	BLDGS	UNITS
N	IEW	WO	RK PEF	RMITTED		BASEMENT N		BUILDIN	NG DIMENSIONS			MIN. STD.	ONR N
00		R/CONT	R.		I TARLTOI			PHONE 266-6393	FEE	PAID DATE		EQUIRE	
NTRACT	BUILDI	RICAL		SAIKIN	BUILDER	3		663-7137	\$33 \$34	. 11/13/2003		LDING CTRIC	
O R S		BING ALK/DRI	VE										
لسد	SIGN ROOF												
	1	ERVICE HECK FE		:									
								ETJ FEE	TOTAL FEE \$67	TOTAL PAID \$33			

C.O. MAILING ADDRESS

5004 LAKEVIEW DRIVE

AUSTIN, TX 78732

REMARKS

IC-6172' 41% BC-5702' 38%. NOT IN FLOOD PLAIN PER TODD PANKEY 11-10-2003.RESID ZON REV **NBRIONES**

BY TAKING AND/OR PAYING FOR THIS PERMIT APPLICATION, YOU ARE DECLARING YOU ARE THE OWNER OR HAVE BEEN AUTHORIZED BY THE OWNER OR OWNERS TO SUBMIT THIS APPLICATION, THAT THE GIVEN DATA ARE TRUE FACTS AND THAT THE WORK WILL CONFORM TO THE PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS SUBMITTED HEREWITH:

THIS IS A RECEIPT OF PAYMENT FOR FEE OR FEES AS MARKED PAID.

Printed: 13 November 2003

03016066	ACTIVE R 12912 PARK DR							13-NOV-03			
		K LAK	E SUB	SUBDIVISI DIVISIO	N NO.1; Lot: 77	; Block: B		С	PERMIT CLE DCORTIN		
PLAT	BLOCK				LOT			<u> </u>	GRID		
	Α	77						MB33			
SF2	REQD.		PARKING PROVD.		NEW DETAC	HED GARAGE	PROPOSED OCC	UPANCY			
SPECIAL PI	ERMIT NO.	TC	OTAL SQ. F	ī.	VALUATION	TYPE CONST.	USE. CAT.	GROUP	FLOORS	BLDGS	UNIT
			400		\$10,000		M1-1			1	
w	ORK PERMITTED			BASEMENT		BUILDING	DIMENSIONS			MIN. STD.	ONA
NEW	•			N		1				N	N
	TYPE		<u>_</u>	DATE	STATUS	FAILU	DEC I	ALCOHOTO D		 .	<u></u>
Layout					J OIAIGE	FAICO	neo	INSPECTOR		101	
Plumbing R	_									501	
Plumbing S										505	
Plumbing C										502	
Plumbing G	as									504	
Foundation										102	
Framing							İ			103	
Insulation/E	4-									601	
Plumbing To	=									503	
Mechanical	_									401	
Vechanical		i								402	
Electrical Sia										301	
Electric Rou	gh									302	
Wallboard										104	
Sidewalk Pre							į			201	
Driveway Pro	•									202	
Final Building	_									706	
Final Electric										701	
Final Mechai										703	
Final Plumbi	-									702	
Final Energy									-	704	
Final Concre	te								7	705	
Final Sign									7	'07	
Landscaping	3					•					
Engineering					Admin Holds	NONE		Review [Date		
Water											
Sewer					Remarks:						
Fire					Approval of the fi	nal plumbing inspec	tion if require	d serves se co	moletien en	d acce	unt.
Health					of a customer se	rvice inspection, as	romited by 30	TAP 20 46 (лыенов вп	a appro	vai

PERMIT NO. 03016065	STATUS ACTIVI	E R	E	Y OF AUSTI	ADDRE	SS	Γ Print	,,	DAT	
bdivision: HU	JGHES PAR	K LAKE SI	SUBDIVISIO	N NO.1; Lot: 7	77. DI				13-NO	V-03
PLAT	BLOCK	<u> </u>		LOU.	7; Block: B			PERMIT CL		
	A	77		LOT				CORTI	NAS	
ZONE HT. & AR	EA						MB33	GRID		
SF2	REQD.	PARK PROV	D,	NEW SWIM	MING POOL	PROPOSED O	-			
		TOTAL SQ.	FT.	VALUATION	TYPE CONST	USE. CA	T. GROUP			
WOR	K PERMITTED	400		\$100,000		M2-1	GAOOP	FLOORS		UNITS
NEW			BASEMENT		BUILDIN	NG DIMENSIONS			1	
			N			[MIN. STD.	ONR
C, OWNER/CONTR.	MALCII	T4D4 ===			PHONE	FEE	PAID DATE			N
N: BUILDING	WALSH	TARLTON			266-6393		FAID DATE \	INS	EQUIRE!) Ne
T R ELECTRICAL	SAIKIN	BUILDERS	3 .		663-7137	\$33	11/10/0000			10
A'						! !	11/13/2003		DING CTRIC	
Τ!						\$34	į	PLU	MBING	
O PLUMBING										
S SIDEWALK/DRIVE						\$34				
SIGN										
ROOF/SIDE			•							
CLEC SERVICE FE	EE									
PLAN CHECK FEE										
:	_				ETUFEE	TOTAL FEE	TOTAL PAID			
C O MA	ILING ADDRES					\$101	\$33			
5004 LAKEVIEW D		S								
DOOM EVICTAIEAA D	ĸ									
AUSTIN, TX 78732	!									
EMARKS IC-6172' 419 PER TODD NBRIONES.	% BC-5702' 38% PANKEY 11-10	6. NOT IN FL -2003.RESID	OOD PLAIN ZON REV	OWN OR O THE G WORK SPEC	WNERS TO SUBI SIVEN DATA ARE WILL CONFORI IFICATIONS SUB	HE DECLARINEN AUTHORIZ MIT THIS APP TIRUE FACTS MITO THE PLA MITTED HERE	IG YOU ARE THE ZED BY THE OWN! LICATION, THAT S AND THAT THE	ER .		



Lower Colorado River Authority

Post Office Box 220 Austin, Texas 78767 - (512) 473-3216

AUTHORIZATION TO OPERATE AN ON-SITE SEWAGE FACILITY Permit #: 3707

Location: 12912 PARK, AUSTIN TX 78732

HUGHES PARK LAKE Block: Lot: 77

Permit Date: 9/1/2004

Phone: (512) 266-6393

Owner: PURCELL, FRED A

Mailing address: 5004 LAKEVIEW DR AUSTIN TX 78732

This license signifies that final approval is given and that the system is ready for use and meets guidelines adopted by the Lower Colorado River Authority (LCRA) and the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ). Acceptance of this license to operate the system constitutes an agreement to abide by the terms and conditions specified in the most current version of the LCRA and TCEQ regulations for on-site sewage facilities.


The LCRA's program is designed to protect the water quality of the Highland Lakes. Your commitment to meeting the requirements of the license is appreciated by everyone who uses and enjoys the Highland Lakes and the Colorado River. Thank you for your assistance in keeping the water clean.

Should you have questions regarding operation and maintenance of the system, please call me at 1-800-776-5272, extension 3216. The number printed on the license will help us answer your questions expeditiously. For your information, I have also enclosed a booklet which will better enable you to understand and care for your on-site sewage facility.

Thank you again for your concern about water quality. Remember that any time you see or suspect a problem about water quality, you may call 1-800-776-5272, extension 6843.

1/10/2007 04:38 PM Page 1

HOUSE L'encroachment



METCALFE & SANDERS, INC./LAND SURVEYORS

4800 SOUTH CONGRESS AUSTIN, TEXAS 78745 (512) 442-5363

SURVEYS & RECORDS SINCE 1904

SURVEY CERTIFICATE

I, George L. Sanders, Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 1838 of Metcalfe & Sanders, Inc., Land Surveyors, do hereby certify to: Fred Purcell that on November 13, 2003 an on-the-ground survey of the forms for the slab of the proposed building on Lot 77, Hughes Park Lake Subdivision No. 1, City of Austin, Travis County, Texas, was made under my supervision and that the forms were not found to encroach on the five foot building set back lines.

Certified to this 15th day of December A. D. 2003.

METCALFE & SANDERS, INC.

Land Surveyors

George L. Sanders

Texas Registered Professional Land Surveyor No. 1838

c:\project\03009\doc\formcert.doc


STEPS

.


.

.

.



Let 76: 50 Del
32' Long
5' into subogard somble of







CORRECTION NOTICE

Time of Inspection PAGE OF '
<u></u>
A FINE CAMER OLD FIME PLACE WITH DOS SAPPLY
Boar Mail O Theath Laur
2 guald heirs of hand hair at later side
The following orders are hereby issued for their correction I TONNINGTE ALL TRANSPERS ON HEARST
http://www.ci.austin.tx.us/development/pierivr.htm
You may check the status of your permit at:
PHONE
DATE G-4-02 TYPE INSPECTION LIFA SAFATY
ADDRESS 12913 PRAK DA


FIELD COPY

GAZEBO CARPORT


CARAGE



Garage From Street




Gazebe/CAYPIX+ From Stice+



- 1. The deck extends into sideyard 31/2-4!
- 2. The Deck is part of the landscaping/hardscape + covers pool equipment on the west side + westernater package plant, including a a grinder premp and wasternater trolding tunk on the east side.
- 3. The seck covers the structural support (vertical buttrasses) for the pool, pool equipment I sewage equipment.
- 4. Or the west the lot owner bus constructed a 27' concrete, iron, wood + sheet-metal wall & Fence. The concrete portion of the wall is 20'4" high, (B below); the fine fuel is 27' tall (c below); the wall and fence are 10'4" above the floor twelf of the Deck (A below).

 The beck is 8' above the ground.
- 5. The Seck, pool equipacit, I Structural support are shielded by the
- 6. The pad, Jeck extrend out over a bluff are are supported by buttressed on each







First View Deck


Deck from the

East










Jugger Fence

FENCE









Lat 77 Form


Dryver Wall, but 76 27' Tull












DECK



comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Tam in favor Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010 contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your ☐ I object If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to: Case Number: C15-2010-0134 -- 12912 Park Drive 4887 Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202 'our address(es) affected by this application Signature 12892 GARK Austin, TX 78767-8810 Daytime Telephone: Your Name (please print) ANICE P. O. Box 1088 listed on the notice. Susan Walker Comments:


Snyder presentation to BOA

Opposed to variances requested by Mr. Fred Purcell

12912 Park Dr.


Major Problems with Fred's Request

- by emergency personnel, value of property with space, etc. 5' Setback for a reason — space between residences, access
- unsightly and dangerous Elevated Pool deck and equipment below uncovered is
- No security or protection possible kids can access his pool now, via our property or trom his street and driveway
- Garage added in setback next to an 15' retaining wall hardship for Fred (his choice and his plan all along) both not allowed per City Code and not necessary nor a
- We now have all these structures right up against our property – degrades our property
- water runoff, erosion is a problem impacts us directly Impervious Coverage is not in compliance anymore and



October 2003 – Fred's destruction of our fence

David was 5 – Now is 13. Still having to deal with this problem.



More Destruction along our property line – Oct 2003

No Permit, No permission by us to do this......

Fred's Wall getting started - Cut and Built to 15' September 2004 – NO PERMIT




Unfinished on our side 🟵




Note gas line above ground!!!

2

Our side of his wall




I'm 5'9" tall.




Left unfinished and jagged on purpose

Fred's two garages – before and after




This was at 41% impervious cover (max 45%)





Roof of his garage




Tiles of garage roof right next to property line



Roof line in setback; view from street

View from the lake – looks very overbuilt (four big houses side by side)



PUBLIC HEARING INFORMATION

Although applicants and/or their agent(s) are expected to attend a public hearing, you are not required to attend. However, if you do attend, you have the opportunity to speak FOR or AGAINST the proposed development or change. You may also contact a neighborhood or environmental organization that has expressed an interest in an application affecting your neighborhood.

During a public hearing, the board or commission may postpone or continue an application's hearing to a later date, or recommend approval or denial of the application. If the board or commission announces a specific date and time for a postponement or continuation that is not later than 60 days from the announcement, no further notice is required.

A board or commission's decision may be appealed by a person with standing to appeal, or an interested party that is identified as a person who can appeal the decision. The body holding a public hearing on an appeal will determine whether a person has standing to appeal the decision.

An interested party is defined as a person who is the applicant or record owner of the subject property, or who communicates an interest to a board or commission by:

- delivering a written statement to the board or commission before or during the public hearing that generally identifies the issues of concern (it may be delivered to the contact person listed on a notice); or
- appearing and speaking for the record at the public hearing;
- occupies a primary residence that is within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development;
- is the record owner of property within 500 feet of the subject property or proposed development; or
- is an officer of an environmental or neighborhood organization that
 has an interest in or whose declared boundaries are within 500 feet of
 the subject property or proposed development.

A notice of appeal must be filed with the director of the responsible department no later than 10 days after the decision. An appeal form may be available from the responsible department.

For additional information on the City of Austin's land development process, visit our web site: www.ci.austin.tx.us/development.

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202 Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010 Your Name (please print) Your address(es) affected by this application Your address(es) affected by this application	Daytime Telephone: (512) 4:76-4461	Comments: How assured the Sex Manney	The first has been been the	Jan January. Die Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan Jan
---	------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------------------	--

If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088
Austin, TX 78767-8810

CASE # <u>C15-2010-0134</u> RDW-10513041 TP-0152440144

CITY OF AUSTIN APPLICATION TO BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT GENERAL VARIANCE/PARKING VARIANCE

WARNING: Filing of this appeal stops all affected construction activity.

PLEASE: APPLICATION MUST BE TYPED WITH ALL REQUESTED INFORMATION COMPLETED.

OTDEET ADDRESS 10010 D. 1.

STREET ADDRESS: 12912 Park Drive
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: Subdivision - Hughes Park Lake, Sub. # 1
Lot(s) 77 BlockA Outlot Division
I Jim Bennett as authorized agent for Fred Purcell
affirm that on $9/27$, 2010 , hereby apply for a hearing before the
Board of Adjustment for consideration to:
ERECT - ATTACH - COMPLETE - REMODEL - MAINTAIN (West)
A garage providing a side yard setback of 4.46 ft.; a swimming pool deck providing side
yard setbacks of 0 ft; a carport providing a front street setback of 10.3ft and a side yard
ecst setback of 3.9 ft; a wall (fence)height of 8ft. 6; and providing a side yard of 4.9 feet for
the residence. COST West 101
in a SF-2 district. E West-
(zoning district)

The Austin Electric Utility Department (Austin Energy) enforces electric easements and the setback requirements set forth in the Austin Utility Code, Electric Criteria Manual and National Electric Safety Code. The Board of Adjustment considers variance to the Land Development Code, and a variance granted by the Board of Adjustment does not waive the requirements enforced by Austin Energy. Please contact Christine Esparza with Austin Energy at 322-6112 before filing your application with the Board of Adjustment if your request is for a reduction in setbacks or height limits.

NOTE: The Board must determine the existence of, sufficiency of and weight of evidence supporting the findings described below. Therefore, you must complete each of the applicable Findings Statements as part of your application. Failure to do so may result in your application being rejected as incomplete. Please attach any additional support documents.

VARIANCE FINDINGS: I contend that my entitlement to the requested variance is based on the following findings (see page 5 of application for explanation of findings):

REASONABLE USE:

1. The zoning regulations applicable to the property do not allow for a reasonable use because:

The improvements are complete and in most cases the amount of variances are minimal caused by the topography, terrain and the shape of the frontage of the lot.

HARDSHIP:

2. (a) The hardship for which the variance is requested is unique to the property in that:

The extreme topographic conditions of the site The site slopes approximately 70 ft. from the front of the lot to the rear. The sharp angle of the front property line. The sever drop at the front property line and the relocation of the street

(b) The hardship is not general to the area in which the property is located because:

This site is developed and the flat surface portion of this lot is where the house is constructed. The street was not relocated in the front of other lots in the area.

AREA CHARACTER:

3. The variance will not alter the character of the area adjacent to the property, will not impair the use of adjacent conforming property, and will not impair the purpose of the regulations of the zoning district in which the property is located because:

Due to the topography of the site the roof of the carport structure is almost non-visiable from off the property, as is the garage and other encroachment Due to the courtyard walls and walls on adjoining properties the minimal amount of variances the variances would have little or no affect on the character of the area.

PARKING: (Additional criteria for parking variances only.)

Request for a parking variance requires the Board to make additional findings. The Board may grant a variance to a regulation prescribed Section 479 of Chapter 25-6 with respect to the number of off-street parking spaces or loading facilities required if it makes findings of fact that the following additional circumstances also apply:

1. Neither present nor anticipated future traffic volumes generated by the use of the site or the uses of sites in the vicinity reasonable require strict or literal interpretation and enforcement of the specific regulation because:

		14 41 61 6
		74 8 2
4 , r		
	The state of the s	in the second
	3. The granting of this variance will not create a safety hazard or any other condition inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because:	tion
	inconsistent with the objectives of this Ordinance because:	Sections.
e	at the transmission of the section o	
	4. The variance will run with the use or uses to which it pertains and shall not run v	
	the site because:	<i>f.</i> ,
		•
	They will will you want or early all organists will	7 st. n
1	· 大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大大	
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or notentially similarly situated	ial
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or notentially similarly situated	ial
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE - I affirm that my statements contained in the complete.	
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE - I affirm that my statements contained in the complaphication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.	lete
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complaphication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed Line Signed Mail Address Mail Address	lete
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complaphication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed Line Signed Mail Address Mail Address	lete
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE - I affirm that my statements contained in the complapplication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed Line Signed Mail Address 11505 Rule Do. A. City, State & Zip Austra, 4x 78742	lete
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complex application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complex application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board Cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complex provides the complex provides and belief. Signed The Board Cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated.	lete
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant polication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed For Brunch Mail Address USOS Rulge Do M	lete
	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complapplication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.	lete
P	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant polication are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed For Brunch Mail Address USOS Rulge Do M	lete
P	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed Line House Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Ton	lete
P	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant policition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant policies. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Mail Address / 2912 Pin f) V	lete
P	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a specing privilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant application are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed Line House Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Tone Ton	lete
P	NOTE: The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complant policition are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant policies. Signed The Board cannot grant a variance that would provide the applicant with a speciprivilege not enjoyed by others similarly situated or potentially similarly situated. APPLICANT CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Signed The Board CERTIFICATE — I affirm that my statements contained in the complete applicant are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Mail Address / 2912 Pin f) V	lete

City MSI

EXB- the bill file for the exectment of

New legislation in 2003, Sec 211.016, which was

assessed to Satchapter A, Cn. 211, Local Gov Code

by HB 1207; applicability of the new Iwi is

addessed in SECTION 23:

- ca) This act takes effect Sept , 2003.
- (b) The charge in law made by the Act applies

 only to a restal subdiv plat approved by a

 municipality on or after the effective date of

 this ket. A residential subdiv plat approved by

 a municipality before the effective date of this

 bot is governed by the law in effect when the

 plat was approved and the former law is continu

 continued in effect for that purpose.

Remits expired

1) Skinewing rod

2) gives


3) to une for curport

to paral for

11 deck of

2) Carpent

2) Carpent





BOARD OF ADJUSTMENTS


CASE#: C15-2010-0134 LOCATION: 12912 PARK DR

GRID: B33

MANAGER: SUSAN WALKER



This map has been produced by the Communications Technology Management Dept. on behalf of the Planning Development Review Dept. for the sole purpose of geographic reference. No warranty is made by the City of Austin regarding specific accuracy or completeness.



ALL POINTS CALCULATED UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

DW DW

PROJECT LOT 77 PARK DRIVE

G. EXHIBIT

© 2007 Dales Survey Orons, In

Written comments must be submitted to the board or commission (or the contact person listed on the notice) before or at a public hearing. Your comments should include the name of the board or commission, or Council; the scheduled date of the public hearing; the Case Number; and the contact person listed on the notice.

City of Austin-Planning & Development Review Department/ 1st Floor Susan Walker P. O. Box 1088
If you use this form to comment, it may be returned to:
I am In Sausa.
Daytime Telephone: 5/12 XW 77/1 Comments:
Signature Date
much Men Chert 240
12892 PARK De. 12889 + HEEP117 Your address(es) affected by this application
Your Name (please print) Your Name (please print) Your Name (please print)
Public Hearing: Board of Adjustment, December 13th, 2010
Contact: Susan Walker, 512-974-2202
Case Number: C15-2010-0134 - 12912 Park Drive

Austin, TX 78767-8810